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## ( $R, R$ )-(-)-trans-1,4-Dioxaspiro[4,4]nonane-2,3-diylbis(diphenylmethanol)-N-allyl- $N$ -propyl-3-oxocyclohexene-1-carboxamide (2/1)

In the title inclusion compound, $2 \mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$, there are two independent host-guest-host assemblies connected by $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonds.

## Comment

The enantioselective intramolecular photocyclization reaction of $N$-allyl- $N$ - $R$-3-oxo-1-cyclohexenecarboxamides ( $R=\mathrm{Me}$, $\mathrm{Et},{ }^{n} \mathrm{Pr}$, etc) in inclusion crystals was reported by Toda et al. (1995). The products obtained were tricyclic compounds, as the result of a $[2+2]$-photoreaction in the guest molecule, except for that with $R={ }^{n} \operatorname{Pr}$, which gave the spiro $\beta$-lactam $(A)$, not the tricyclic compound $(B)$. The crystal structure of the title compound, (I), has been determined to investigate the conformation of the guest amide ( $R={ }^{n} \mathrm{Pr}$ ).

(I)


The $N$-propyl atoms C30/C31/C32 (C46/C47/C48) and the $N$-allyl group C33/C34/C35 (C43/C44/C45) show extraordinary large displacement parameters, suggesting positional disorder (Fig. 1). The molecular structure of the guest is essentially the same as that observed in the inclusion compound with the corresponding six-membered ring host, differing only in the size of the cycloalkane ring (Ohba \& Miyamoto, 2003). The center-to-center distance between the $\mathrm{C} 27=\mathrm{C} 28$ and $\mathrm{C} 34=\mathrm{C} 35$ double bonds and that between bonds $\mathrm{C} 40=\mathrm{C} 41$ and $\mathrm{C} 44=\mathrm{C} 45$ are 4.24 (3) and 3.91 (3) $\AA$, respectively. The plane of the allyl group is nearly perpendicular to the cyclohexene ring. The structure of the guest amide in (I) is not suitable for [2 + 2]-photocyclization and may give product $(C)$, not $(A)$.

Figure 1


The structure of the two independent guest molecules, with the atomnumbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are plotted at the $30 \%$ probability level.

There are four independent host molecules in (I), which have similar conformations (Fig. 2). There is an intramolecular $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bond in each host molecule (Table 1). The guest molecule is also connected to the two host molecules by $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonds (Fig. 3).

The crystal structure of (I) could not be solved by ordinary direct methods. This might be due to the large number of
independent non-H atoms, 180. However, the Pattersonsearch method for a host molecule using atomic coordinates of the other inclusion compounds (Ohba et al., 2000), and followed by direct methods (DIRDIF; Beurskens et al., 1994) was successful.

## Experimental

The title inclusion compound, (I), was prepared by Toda et al. (1995). Crystals suitable for X-ray analysis were grown from a diethyl ether solution.

## Crystal data

$2 \mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$
$M_{r}=1206.53$
Triclinic, $P 1$
$a=34.738$ (4) A
$b=9.5934(12) \AA$
$c=10.0715$ (19) $\AA$
$\alpha=90.278(12)^{\circ}$
$\beta=93.993$ (11) ${ }^{\circ}$
$\gamma=90.021(10)^{\circ}$
$V=3348.2(9) \AA^{3}$
$Z=2$
$D_{x}=1.197 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$
$\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation
Cell parameters from 25 reflections
$\theta=25.1-29.1^{\circ}$
$\mu=0.62 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=248 \mathrm{~K}$
Prism, colourless
$0.68 \times 0.30 \times 0.20 \mathrm{~mm}$

## Data collection

Rigaku AFC-7R diffractometer
$\omega-2 \theta$ scans
Absorption correction: by integra-
tion (Coppens et al., 1965)
$T_{\min }=0.773, T_{\text {max }}=0.889$
11840 measured reflections
11148 independent reflections
9004 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$
$R_{\text {int }}=0.094$
$\theta_{\text {max }}=75.0^{\circ}$
$h=-43 \rightarrow 43$
$k=-12 \rightarrow 12$
$l=0 \rightarrow 12$
3 standard reflections
every 150 reflections
intensity decay: $12 \%$


Figure 2


The structures of the four independent host molecules, with the atom-numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are plotted at the $30 \%$ probability level.


Figure 3
A projection of the crystal structure of (I), viewed along $b$. Broken lines indicate hydrogen bonds.

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.077$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.222$
$S=1.04$
11148 reflections
1588 parameters
H -atom parameters constrained
Table 1
Hydrogen-bonding geometry $\left(\AA,^{\circ}\right)$.

| $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| O5-H1 $\cdots$ O1 | 0.82 | 1.95 | $2.734(10)$ | 160 |
| O6-H2 O5 | 0.82 | 1.87 | $2.667(8)$ | 164 |
| O9-H3 $\cdots$ O2 | 0.82 | 1.94 | $2.700(9)$ | 155 |
| O10-H4 O9 | 0.82 | 1.83 | $2.647(8)$ | 173 |
| O13-H5 O3 | 0.82 | 1.91 | $2.719(10)$ | 168 |
| O14-H6 O13 | 0.82 | 1.87 | $2.677(9)$ | 169 |
| O17-H7 $\cdots$ O4 | 0.82 | 1.89 | $2.709(9)$ | 172 |
| O18-H8 $\cdots$ O17 | 0.82 | 1.83 | $2.637(7)$ | 167 |

A relatively low completeness of 0.81 up to $\theta=75^{\circ}$ is due to the blind region $\left(\theta>60^{\circ}\right)$ of the four-circle diffractometer, combined with a cooling apparatus. The C atoms of the $N$-propyl and $N$-allyl groups in the guest molecules, C30-C35 and C43-C48, were refined isotropically, since their extraordinary large displacement parameters suggested positional disorder. The geometry of the allyl groups was restrained, where distances C33-C34 (C43-C44), C34-C35 $(\mathrm{C} 44=\mathrm{C} 45)$ and $\mathrm{C} 33 \cdots \mathrm{C} 35(\mathrm{C} 43 \cdots \mathrm{C} 45)$ are $1.50,1.30$, and $2.43 \AA$, respectively. For the atoms C34/C35/C44/C45, a common $U_{\text {iso }}$ parameter was refined. The large, elongated displacement ellipsoids of atoms C55/C56 in the five-membered ring of one of the host molecules suggested positional disorder of these atoms due to the puckering motion of the ring. However, the split-atom refinement for C55/C56 failed. Atoms C78/C79/C80/C81 and C144/C145/C146/C147 of the phenyl rings of the host molecules show large displacement parameters, suggesting orientational disorder of the rings. The geometry of these phenyl rings was restrained. The hydroxyl H atoms were located in difference syntheses or were positioned assuming a hydrogen bond with a neighboring acceptor, and the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ distances were restrained to be $0.82 \AA$ with $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=U_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{O})$. The other Hatom positional parameters were calculated geometrically $(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=$ $0.95 \AA$ ) and fixed with $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=1.2 U_{\text {eq }}$ (parent C-atom). The absolute structure was assigned, based on the known absolute configuration of the host molecule derived from (+)-tartaric acid (Toda \& Tanaka, 1988). The Flack (1983) parameter was -2.2 (4) prior to the merging of the Friedel pairs.

Data collection: WinAFC Diffractometer Control Software (Rigaku, 1999); cell refinement: WinAFC Diffractometer Control Software; data reduction: TEXSAN (Molecular Structure Corporation, 2001); program(s) used to solve structure: DIRDIF (Beurskens et al., 1994); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976); software used to prepare material for publication: TEXSAN.
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